Preliminary communication

The synthesis, structure, and reactions of some simple unsaturated a-hydrocarbylplatinum(I1) complexes

C.J. CARDIN, D-J. CARDIN and M.F. LAPPERT

School of Molecule Sciences, **University** *of Sussex, Brighton BIW 9QJ (Great Brirain)*

and K.W. MUIR

Chemistry Deparrment, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ (Great Britain) **(Received August 31st. 1973)**

SUMMARY

Trimethyltin compounds Me₃ Sn--R (R = CH=CH₂, CF=CF₂, or C≡CPh) are selective reagents for the synthesis of unsaturated hydrocarbyl derivatives such as *trans-*PtCl(R)(PPhEt₂)₂, by R/Cl exchange or oxidative addition (e.g., to Pt(PPh₃)₃); single crystal X-ray analyses of two such compounds $(R = CH = CH_2 \text{ or } C \equiv CPh)$ show that the *trans*-influence of R has only a low sensitivity to hybridisation at carbon, with $sp^3 > sp \geq$ $s p^2$.

There has been much interest in the nature of the transition metal-carbon bond with reference to unsaturated ligands, particularly in platinum chemistry, and speculation on the binding in terms of the Chatt-Dewar model. X-ray crystallographic studies have 'provided most of the experimental results. One approach to the problem is through study of the effect of hybridisation at carbon on the ligand trans-influence. In this context it is noteworthy that NMR coupling constant data on appropriate complexes have been interpreted to give an NMR *trans*-influence order of $C(sp^3) \simeq C(sp^2) > C(sp^1)$. We now present data on the synthesis, structure, and reactions of some simple vinyl, fluorovinyl, and acetylide derivatives of platinum(I1).

A feature of the syntheses **(A** or B in Table 1) is the use of the selective reagents $Me₃SnR$; in increasing order of reactivity $R = CH = CH₂ < C \equiv CF₂ < C \equiv CPh$. For $R =$ CH=CH2, the trans-monovinyl derivatives of platinum are obtained exclusively by R/Cl ligand exchange $[e, g]$, using cis-PtCl₂(PPhEt₂)₂], (method A), in contrast to routes employing (i) $\rm (CH_2=CH)_4$ Sn, which affords very poor yields, (ii) $\rm CH_2=CHMgBr$ from which PtBr₂ P₂ was chiefly obtained, and (iii) CH₂=CHLi. For R = CF=CF₂ or C=CPh,

PRELIMINARY COMMUNICATION

TABLE 1

^{*a*} A, R/Cl ligand exchange; B, oxidative addition to Pt(PPh₃)₃; C, oxidative addition to Pt^{II} of MeX. or X_7 . **b** Refinement of X-ray structure in progress.

method A affords good yields of either the trans-mono or trans-bis derivatives, stoicheiometrically controlled. Oxidative addition, method B, of Me₃ SnR to PtP₃ or similar Pt^o complexes gives cis-PtR(SnMe₃)P₂ (R = CF=CF₂ and P = PPh₃ or PPhMe₂; R = C=CPh² and P = PPh₃ or PPh₂ Mel.

For platinum, X-ray analyses are available for only two unsaturated o-hydrocarbyl derivatives, cis-Pt(C=C-CN)CN(PPh₃)₂³, and Pt(C₆H₂)(CH₂COPh)(diphos), [where C₆H₂ = 1-cyclohexenyl, and diphos = 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane]⁴, and the present results complete a series of hydrocarbyl ligands in the hybridisation states sp, sp^2 , and sp^3 . Selected bond lengths and angles for trans-PtCl(R)(PPhEt₂)₂ (R = CH=CH₂ or C=CPh), are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. As assessed by Pt-Cl bond lengths, the ligands $-CH=CH₂$ and $-C=\mathbb{C}Ph$ exert a very similar trans-influence. only slightly less than for the alkyl group in trans-Pt(Cl)(CH₂ SiMe₃)(PPhMe₂)₂ [Pt-Cl 2.415(5) A]⁵, but greater than that for carbene in cis-Pt(Cl)₂ $[C(NPhCH₂)₂](PEt₃)$ [Pt-Cl 2.363(3) Å]⁶. We conclude that the *trans* influence of these *monohapto* hydrocarbyl ligands falls in the order $sp^3 > sp > sp^2$, but that it is not very sensitive to the hybridisation state of the ligating carbon. Studies using the acetyl group⁷ have shown that ligand electronegativity is also of little importance, the

Fig. 1. trans-PtCl(CH=CH₂)(PPhEt₂)₂: \angle Pt-C(1)-C(2) 126.9(19)^o. Crystal data: orthorhombic, a 10.686(2), b 13.832(4), c 16.129(4) A, $Z = 4$; *Pbcn* (No. 60, D_{14}^{14}); R 4.4%, R 6.0% for 1420 absorption-corrected independent diffractometric data. The vinyl group is disordered about a C_2 axis passing through Pt and Cl.

Fig. 2. trans-PtCl(C=CPh)(PPhEt₂)₂: L Pt-C(1)-C(2) 162(3)[°], L C(1)-C(2)-C(3) 174(2)[°]. Crystal data: monoclinic, a 12.359(3), b 13.015(3), c 9.031(2) A, β 101.65(3)°; Z = 2; P2, (No. 4, C_3^2): R 4.7%, R 4.9% for 1883 independent diffractometric data.

trans-influence being chiefly controlled by the identity and formal charge (neutral \leq uninegative) of the ligating atom; note some relevant σ_I constants Me, -0.05; CH=CH₂, 0.15 (ref. 8); C=CH, 0.20 (ref. 9), C=CPh, 0.14 (ref. 9).

The new complexes are unsaturated in two senses, both with regard to the ligand R and to the metal. When $R = CH = CH_2$, addition takes place exclusively at the latter position for halogen (Cl_2, Br_2) and methyl halides (MeBr, MeI), (see Table 1). Another interesting reaction is shown in Scheme 1 and may relate to the trans-effect of the ligands R in (I). Clark and Manzer¹⁰ showed that the methyl complex $(R = Me)$ does not react with aromatic amines; in contrast, using n-butylamine we readily obtained cationic carbene complexes from the vinyl or phenylacetylide analogues.

Scheme 1

$$
PtCl(R)P_2 \xrightarrow{AgPF_6} [Pt(R)(MeOH)P_2]^+PF_6^- \xrightarrow{CNC_6H_4OMe-p}
$$

\n
$$
trans\cdot [Pt(R)P_2(CNC_6H_4OMe-p]^+PF_6^- \xrightarrow{r-BuNH_2} trans\cdot [(Pt(R)P_2(CNHBu-n)NHC_6H_4OMe-p)]^+PF_6^-
$$

\n(1)
\n(1)
\n
$$
R = CH = CH_2, P = PPhEt_2 \text{ gives (II) (m.p. = 129°)}
$$

\n(2)
\n
$$
R = C = CPh, P = PPh_2 Me \text{ gives (II) (m.p. = 192-194°)}
$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the S.R.C. for financial support, and Engelhard Limited for the loan of platinum.

PRELIMINARY COMMUNICATION C73

REFERENCES

- 1 T.G. Appleton, H.C. Clark and L.E. Manzer, Coord. Chem. Rev., 10 (1973) 335.
- **2 B. Cetinkaya, M-F. Lappert, J. McMeeking and D.E. Palmer, J. Chem Sac:** *Dulton, (1973)* **1202.**
- 3 W.H. Baddley, C. Panattoni, G. Bandoli, **D.A. Clemente and** U. Belluco, J. Rmer. Chem. *SOC.,* **93 (1971) 5590.**
- **4 M.k Bennett, G.B. Robertson, P-0. whimp and T. Yosbida, J. Amer. C'hem tic., 95 (1973)** 3028.
- 5 **M.R Collier, C. Eaborn, B. Jovanovie, MF. Lappert, Lj. ManojlovibMuir, K.W. Muir and M-M Truelock, J. Chem Sot Chem Common., (1972) 613.**
- **6 D.J. Cardin, B. Cetinkayo, En@ Cetinkaya, M.F. Lappert, Lj. Manojlovi&Muir and K-W. Muir. J.** *Orgnnometal. Chem., 44 (1972) C59.*
- **7 S-P. Dent, C. Eaborn, k Pidcock and B. Ratcliff, J.** *Organometaf. C'hem., 46 (1972) C68.*
- *8* G-B. Ellam **and** CD. Johnson, J. *Org. Chem., 36* (1971) *2284.*
- *9 0.* **Exner, in N-B. apman and J. Shorter (Eds).** *Advances in* **Linar** *Free Enwgy Rebtionships,* **Plenum Publishing Co., London, 1972.**
- **10 H-C.** Clark and LE. **Manzer, Inorg. Chem., 11 (1972) 503.**

,:

: ..: .-

 $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}$